

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,467	12/11/2001	Pan-Gyu Kang	A32966-A - 072944.0145	4619	
75	90 09/30/2003				
BAKER BOT	TS L.L.P.	EXAMINER			
44TH FLOOR 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			KLEBE, GERALD B		
NEW YORK, N	VY 10112-0228		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3618		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	U
. Office Action Summary		10/015,40	6 7	KANG, PAN-GYU	
		Examine	•	Art Unit	
		Gerald B.		3618	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	e cover s	heet with the correspondence address	
THE - Extended after aft	AORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum sture to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are used patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 10) days, a reply within the state actuory period will apply and were will. by statute, cause the apply and the apply and the apply and were will.	ent, howeve utory minim ill expire SIX lication to b	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>16 July 2003</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	non-fina	al.	
3)⊟ Disposi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	n for allowance excep tice under <i>Ex parte</i> C	ot for for Ouayle, 1	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)	Claim(s) 1 and 12-19 is/are pending	g in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,15 and	16 is/are withdrawn f	rom con	sideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[Claim(s) 1, 12, and 14 is/are rejected	ed.			
7)	Claim(s) 17-19 is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election r	equirem	ent.	
Applica	tion Papers				
,	The specification is objected to by th				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 11 Decembe				
	Applicant may not request that any ob				
11)	The proposed drawing correction file				
	If approved, corrected drawings are re	quired in reply to this O	ffice actio	on.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority u	nder 35 (U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a	None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	en receiv	red.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	en receiv	red in Application No. <u>09/494,681</u> .	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interescent See the attached detailed Office actions.	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 17	e been received in this National Stage 7.2(a)). ies not received.	
				U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n) <u>.</u>
	a) The translation of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional a	pplication	n has been received.	!ISepe3
Attachme					
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/015,467 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and response to election requirement filed 7/16/2003 has been entered. (Paper No. 7.) By the amendment claims 2-11 are cancelled, claims 1 and 12-19, as amended, remain pending in the application.

Acknowledgment

2. Acknowledgment is made of Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 USC 119(a)-(d) or (f), as being a Continuation – in – Part of application 09/494,681 filed January 31, 2000, now U.S. Patent 6,431,563 B1. The certified copy of the priority document has been received and filed in Application No. 09/494,681.

Election of Species

3. Applicant's provisional election with traverse of species Group I: Figures 1-9, drawn to a golf bag cart having a pressurizing member fixed to the bag, positioned just below the cart support and connected to the cart wheel structures is acknowledged. Applicant asserts that as amended claims 1 and 12-19 read upon the provisionally elected species.

Applicant's traversal is on the ground(s) that a search and examination of the entire scope of the originally filed claims, being intimately related, would not necessarily impose a serious burden on the Examiner.

The examiner disagrees. Besides the elected species Group I there are found another nine

(9) distinct species in the disclosure as filed, evidenced not only in the figures but also in

Applicant's numerous citations in the disclosure to these various embodiments of the invention.

In the absence of Applicant providing statements to the effect that these embodiments are

Art Unit: 3618

obvious variants and are not distinct, the consequence for the examiner is to search and examine the prior art for all 10 variants as possibly being independent and patentably distinct; this is unduly burdensome on the examiner's time and effort in the application given that the intent of the statute is that two or more independent and distinct invention may not be claimed in one application. Refer MPEP 802.01

As to Applicant's assertion the restriction requirement did not indicate which of the original claims (i.e., Claims 1-19 were readable upon each of the Groups I- X and therefore no clearly identifying each of the disclosed species to which the claims are restricted, citing MPEP Sec. 809.02(a) in support, the examiner points out that the restriction requirement places the burden upon the Applicant's reply to identify the claims reading upon the elected species. Refer MPEP Sec. 809.02(a)(C) subpara. 4. Moreover, contrary to the assertion of the Applicant that all claims as amended read on the elected species Group I, Figs 1-9, the Examiner determines that claim 13 reads on non-elected species III (Fig 11) and that claims 15 and 16 read on non-elected species X (Fig 18).

Applicant's arguments, therefore, are not persuasive and, in the absence of any generic claim being substantively allowable, the election requirement is deemed proper and is hereby made FINAL, claims 13, 15 and 16 being withdrawn from further consideration as reading on non-elected species.

Abstract

4. The abstract is objected to for the following informalities:

line 4: states that the pressurizing member is fixed to the bag; whereas the claims state that the pressurizing member is fixed to the cart support. On the other hand, the specification at

Art Unit: 3618

page 7, para. 0019: states that the pressurizing member is fixed to the lateral surface of the bag just below the cart support.

Appropriate clarification or correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sundara et al. (US 5887833) in view of Kao et al. (US 5829585).
- a. Sundara et al. teaches a golf bag support stand having: (re: claim 1) a bag (200) having an inner empty space, a wide lateral space and a narrow bottom surface; a support (100) onto which the bag is loaded, a pressurizing member (180) integrally fixed to the support stand such that the pressurizing member is rotated by a predetermined angle, the pressurizing member being pressurized against a ground surface and enduring the weight of the bag when the bag is inclined by a predetermined angle (ref col 2, lines 40-44); a plurality of left and right leg members (140) movably fixed to a middle portion of the support stand via a fixture (110) such that the leg members are arranged to form a predetermined angle with respect to the fixture (refer Figs 3, 4, 5, and column 3, lines 31-37), the leg members being folded by way of self weight when the bag is erected (refer col 3, lines 57-63), the fixture (110) being rigidly fixed to the bag (ref col 2, lines 51-54); and having left and right leg members such that the legs are rotated to be

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/015,467

Art Unit: 3618

folded by way of self weight when the bag is erected (refer column; and having a plurality of link members (190) each having an end coupled to the pressurizing member (180), and an opposite end coupled to the leg members (at 125; refer col 3, lines 47-49), the link members unfolding the leg members while being elevated when the pressurizing member is pressurized against the ground surface (col 4, lines 13-15); and (re: claim 12) wherein the bag support stand is longitudinally fixed to the lateral surface of the bag via upper (110) and lower (170) brackets (ref col 2, lines 31-34), and has an inclined portion (220; and refer col 4, lines 55ff) at a bottom surface thereof; and wherein (re: claim 14) the pressurizing member is connected to the lower portion of the bag support stand (via bracket 170, shown in figure 4) by a rivet. Regarding this feature, Sundara et al. is not explicit about the means of connection of item 170 being made with a rivet; however, the connection of the top bracket member 110 is explicitly stated to be a rivet (refer col 2, lines 51-53). Therefore, from a consideration of the similarity of the drawings of 110 and 170 as seen in Fig 4, it is inferred that the means of connection of 170 to the support stand is the same as that used to connect 110 to the support stand, viz., by means of rivet(s).

- b. Sundara et al. lacks explicit disclosure of wheels mounted on the ends of the legs of the bag support stand.
- c. However, Kao et al. teaches the interchangeability of a golf bag support structure having wheels (a cart) and one that simply uses fixed legs for the bag support. (Refer Figs 3 and 4, and column 1, lines 60-62).
- d. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to have modified the bag support stand of Sundara et al. by incorporating wheels in accordance with the teachings of Kao et al. in order to convert the bag carrying stand to one that could be pulled along the ground by the user as an easier means of

Art Unit: 3618

transport of the loaded golf bag say, for users lacking thestrength and stamina for carrying the loaded bag when playing over the golf course.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. US. 6,431,563 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art made of Record

Art Unit: 3618

The prior art made of record is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure: the prior art of Kim teaches an attachable cart support system for a golf bag as a combination of self-erecting wheels or stand supports legs activated by means of extending the cart handle; Roepke teaches a golf bag support stand that erects by activation of a pressurizing member compressed against a spring, the stand support being attachable to the golf bag by means of a downwardly bent upper bracket to engage and attach the edge of the bag; Chung teaches a golf bag support stand erectable by means of a pressurizing member wherein the stand legs retract by their own weight when the golf bag is erected; the prior art of Weng, of Suk, and of Han, each

Conclusion

teach a pressurizing means for erection of the stand legs or wheel legs of a golf bag cart; Tang

references also show various other structures having features in common with some of the

teaches a golf bag car with erectable wheeled legs with a tension spring to retract the legs. These

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Gerald B. Klebe, telephone 703-305-0578, fax 703-308-2571; Mon.- Fri. 8 AM – 4:30 PM ET, or to Supervisory Patent Examiner Brian L. Johnson, Art Unit 3618, at 703-308-0885. When faxing official correspondence please use the TC 3600 Official Rightfax numbers as follow: Regular correspondence: 703-872-9326; After Finals: 703-872-9327; Customer Service 703-872-

9325.

Art Unit 3618 / 21 September 2003

features disclosed in the instant application.

ECVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600